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Abstract—A new excitation technique is developed to improve the impedance bandwidth and to lower the manufacturing cost of a short backfire antenna (SBA). The new excitation structure consists of a planar monopole and a microstrip feed line, both of which are printed on the same dielectric substrate. By splitting the printed monopole with a slot, a wide-band performance can be achieved. The new split-monopole-excited SBA achieves an impedance bandwidth of about 15% [voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR < 2)] while maintaining good radiation performance. As an example, an SBA configuration with the new excitation topology was designed and measured at the 5 GHz UNII band, and good agreement was observed between the simulation and experiment. The effects of the geometric parameters of the excitation structure on the impedance performance are investigated and the operating mechanism of the split-monopole-excited SBA is discussed. Being a low-cost, high-gain, and wide-band directional antenna, the new SBA can find applications in various wireless systems, such as LMDS, WLAN, and the emerging WiMAX networks.

Index Terms—Excitation technique, low-cost antenna, short backfire antenna, wide-band antenna, wireless applications.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been an increasing need for high-gain wide-band directional antennas in wireless applications [1], such as the local multipoint distribution service (LMDS) systems [2] and the millimeter-wave wireless local area networks (WLAN) [3]. In particular, WiMax (world interoperability for microwave access), a technology based on an evolving standard for broadband point-to-multipoint wireless networking, is becoming a hot spot in wireless industry [4], [5]. For a WiMax system, it is typical to use fixed, externally mounted (usually on rooftops or external walls) directional subscriber antennas to communicate with base stations which are connected to the Internet. Since one of the major goals for wireless systems is to offer a less expensive infrastructure than a wired one (such as that based on a T1, DSL, or cable connection), the cost-effectiveness of a wireless deployment is of primary concern. Also for the reasons of system flexibility and interoperability, the ability for a wide-band antenna to operate at a wide frequency band, covering more than one standard, is highly desirable.

The short backfire antenna (SBA), developed first in the 1960s [6], [7], may become one of the most competitive candidates for these wireless applications because of its low profile, high gain, lightweight, and high isolation from surroundings. However the widespread adoption of this antenna is likely dependent on improvements in its impedance bandwidth and manufacturing costs. The SBA has been widely used in mobile/maritime satellite communications, tracking, and telemetry [8]–[10], due to its excellent radiation characteristics (a gain on the order of 13–15 dBi, with sidelobes of at least −20 dB and a backlobe lower than −30 dB) [11], its compact structure (−0.5 λ₀ in height, λ₀

Manuscript received September 7, 2004; revised January 16, 2005. This work was supported in part by the Georgia Electronic Design Center (GDEC), in part by the National Science Foundation under NSF CAREER Award ECS-9984761 and NSF Grant ECS-0313951, and in part by the NSF Packaging Research Center.

The authors are with the Georgia Electronic Design Center, School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0250 USA (e-mail: rll@ece.gatech.edu).

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TAP.2005.850764
is the free-space wavelength at operating frequency), and its simple feed configuration (a single dipole rather than an array). The most popular excitation for the SBA is a half-wave dipole antenna, which has a simple structure. Unfortunately the dipole-excited SBA has a narrow frequency bandwidth for its input impedance since the SBA is essentially a leaky cavity structure. The natural (i.e., without matching circuit) impedance match bandwidth for a cross-dipole excited (for circularly polarization) SBA is only 3–5% for the voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR) under 1.5 [12]. This situation becomes even worse for a single-dipole excited (for linear polarization) SBA. The authors’ investigation shows that the bandwidth of a single-dipole excited SBA is less than 1% for VSWR < 2 if no matching circuit is involved.

An alternative excitation configuration that may improve the impedance bandwidth is the waveguide feed system, such as the coaxial or rectangular waveguide feed [13], [14], which has a bulky impedance bandwidth is the waveguide feed system, such as the coaxial or rectangular waveguide feed [13], [14], which has a bulky structure and requires complicated manufacturing process. Recently, the authors have developed a slot-excited SBA with an unbalance feed to enhance the impedance bandwidth [15]. It has been demonstrated that the slot-excited SBA can achieve a bandwidth for VSWR < 2 of more than 20%. However, a pair of wire pins is needed to support the excitation slot, which increases the complexity for fabrication and thus raises the manufacturing cost. This is critical, especially for millimeter-wave applications, because thinner (sometimes too thin to process mechanically) wires are required for the higher frequency bands (e.g., the wire diameter may be less than 0.2 mm in 60 GHz band).

In this communication, a new excitation technique is proposed for simplifying the excitation structure and maintaining the wide-band impedance performance. The proposed excitation configuration consists of a planar monopole fed by a microstrip line. Both the monopole and the microstrip feed line are printed on a dielectric substrate. By splitting the printed monopole with a slot, the wide-band performance can be achieved. Because the excitation structure is entirely fabricated on the same substrate, the manufacturing cost for the wide-band SBA can be significantly reduced by taking advantage of any modern substrate technology and with the help of high-volume production.

In the next section, the geometry of the new excitation structure for the SBA is described. Simulation and experimental results for impedance performance and radiation patterns are then presented. Finally the effects of its geometric parameters on the impedance performance are investigated and the operating mechanism of the new SBA is discussed.

II. NEW EXCITATION TOPOLOGY

Consider an SBA that is excited by the new excitation structure, as depicted in Fig. 1. The SBA contains a primary reflector (diameter = $D_r$), a subreflector (diameter = $D_s$), and a circular rim. The subreflector is printed on a thin dielectric substrate (thickness = $t$). The height ($H_s$) of the rim is chosen to be almost the same of the height ($H_r = t + H_s$) of the subreflector based on the following considerations: (a) to minimize the backside radiation; (b) to increase the isolation from surroundings so that the antenna may be embedded in the wall of a building; and (c) to support the subreflector. The whole structure of the SBA looks like a “can” and the dielectric substrate with the subreflector serves as the cover (or radome) of the “can” (or the SBA). The new excitation structure consists of a planar monopole and a microstrip feed line, both of which are printed on a dielectric substrate. To achieve a wide-band performance for input impedance, the printed monopole is split along its central line into two parts with a slot that consists of two rectangles: a narrower one on the upper part and a wider one on the lower part of the monopole. The split monopole is mounted at the center of the primary reflector and is fed across the wider part of the splitting slot by a feed probe which is formed through an extension of a 50-$\Omega$ microstrip line. The microstrip feed line is printed on the same dielectric substrate but on the opposite side with respect to the split monopole (thus there is no direct electrical connection between the monopole and the feed probe). This type of feeding arrangement has been widely used for broadband printed dipoles [16]–[18]. Note that the authors initially tried to use the microstrip-fed dipole as an excitation for the SBA, but it failed in the improvement of impedance bandwidth. A semi-miniaturized type-A (SMA) connector is connected to the microstrip feed line from the backside of the primary reflector. A “close-up” view of the new excitation topology is illustrated in Fig. 2.

Based on the configuration described above, a split-monopole-excited SBA was designed at a center frequency of $f_c = 5.5$ GHz to cover the 5 GHz Unlicensed National Information Infrastructure band (UNI) which include the frequency segments 5.15–5.35 GHz and 5.725–5.85 GHz. Both the subreflector and the excitation structure were etched on an RT/duriod 5880 substrate ($\epsilon_r = 2.2$, $t = 0.508$ mm, loss tangent = 0.0009). The diameters of the primary reflector (or the rim) and the subreflector, and the height of the subreflector (or the rim) were determined for a desirable radiation performance, such as a higher gain and a lower sidelobe level [19], [20], while other geometric parameters in the excitation topology were optimized for a good impedance performance, i.e., a wide bandwidth for input impedance. Following a large number of numerical full-wave simulations using *Microstripes* 6.0 (a software based on the Transmission-Line-Matrix technique), all the optimized geometric parameters were obtained and are listed in Table I. The overall dimensions of the optimized SBA are $0.64\lambda_0$ in height and $2.2\lambda_0$ in diameter. The height of the split-monopole-excited SBA is $0.14\lambda_0$ larger than the typical height
Fig. 2. “Close-up” view of the new excitation topology.

TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D_t$</td>
<td>120 mm (2.20$\lambda_0$)</td>
<td>Width of split monopole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_t$</td>
<td>35 mm (0.64$\lambda_0$)</td>
<td>Height of split monopole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_s$</td>
<td>25 mm (0.46$\lambda_0$)</td>
<td>Width of splitting slot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_m$</td>
<td>25 mm (0.46$\lambda_0$)</td>
<td>Length of microstrip feed line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_m$</td>
<td>20 mm (0.37$\lambda_0$)</td>
<td>Width of microstrip feed line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l_m$</td>
<td>5 mm (0.093$\lambda_0$)</td>
<td>Length of microstrip feed line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_m$</td>
<td>0.5 mm (0.0093$\lambda_0$)</td>
<td>Width of microstrip feed line</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Optimized geometric parameters for the split-monopole-excited SBA ($\lambda_0$ = the free-space wavelength at the center frequency).

(0.5$\lambda_0$) for a dipole-excited SBA because of the height occupied by the excitation monopole (0.37$\lambda_0$). Note that the height of the excitation dipole is typically 0.25$\lambda_0$ [11].

III. RESULTS

Fig. 3 shows good agreement between the simulated and measured results of VSWR for the split-monopole-excited SBA. The VSWR < 2 bandwidth (about 15%) covers the entire 5 GHz UNII band. The comparison between the measured E-plane, H-plane, and $\phi = 45^\circ$ plane patterns and the simulations is shown in Fig. 4 at the frequencies of 5.3 and 5.7 GHz. The agreement between the measured and simulated results is good over the main beam region. The sidelobe level is lower than $-15$ dB while the peak backlobe is smaller than $-25$ dB. The higher sidelobe level is mainly due to the spurious radiation from the microstrip feed line while the very low backlobe level benefits from the increased rim height. Similar to the dipole-excited SBA, the split-monopole-excited SBA has a peak cross-polarized component in the $\phi = 45^\circ$ plane with a value of around $-15$ dB, which is slightly higher than the $-20$ dB for the dipole-excited SBA due to the higher rim height [20]. Note that the peak of the cross polarization
appears beyond the half-power main beam region. The higher measured cross-polarization level than the simulation result is probably due to a slight polarization mismatch which occurred during the measurement, e.g., a misalignment between the transmitting antenna (a standard antenna) and the receiving antenna (the antenna under test).

The simulated directivity and measured power gain are plotted in Fig. 5 as a function of frequency. It can be seen that the power gain, slightly lower than the directivity, keeps near 15 dBi over the 5 GHz UNII bands. The simulation reveals that the radiation efficiency of the split-monopole-excited SBA is higher than 95% over the frequency range of 5.1–5.9 GHz. (A conductivity of copper, $1.6 \times 10^7$ S/m, was used in the simulation for the whole antenna structure.) The simulated and measured results for the half-power beam width (HPBW) also agree well (see Fig. 5). In the frequency band 5.1–5.9 GHz,
IV. PARAMETRIC STUDY AND DISCUSSION

To understand the operating principle of the new excitation topology, a parametric study of the input impedance was performed. For the split-monopole-excited SBA, the input impedance is affected mainly by the subreflector and the excitation structure which include the split monopole and the feed probe. (In the parametric study, each time only one parameter varies near its optimized value while all other parameters are fixed at their optimized values.)

First let us check the effect of the subreflector on the impedance performance. Fig. 6 shows the impedance locus variation (plotted on a Smith chart) as the subreflector moves into the excitation structure. Note that the reference plane for the input impedance is chosen at the interface between the feed probe and the microstrip feed line. From this figure, a poor impedance performance is observed if there is no subreflector. When the subreflector is introduced (e.g., $H_s = 40 \text{ mm}$), an impedance loop is produced due to its coupling with the excitation structure. As the subreflector moves toward the excitation structure (that is, its height $H_s$ reduces), the impedance loop becomes broader because of a stronger coupling. An optimized impedance performance is obtained when $H_s = 35 \text{ mm}$. If the subreflector further moves into the excitation structure (e.g., $H_s = 33 \text{ mm}$), the impedance loop expands beyond the SWR = 2 circle as a result of the over-coupling.

The most direct effect on the impedance performance comes from the feed probe. Fig. 7 shows the impedance variations with its position and dimensions. Fig. 7(a) indicates that the impedance locus moves clockwise as the height ($h_p$) of the feed probe decreases. This is because a decrease in $h_p$ corresponds to moving away from a load (i.e., the radiation resistance). Fig. 7(b) indicates that as the length ($l_p$) of the feed probe increases, the impedance locus moves toward the upper half of the Smith chart. This is due to the increased inductance caused by the extended feed probe ($l_p$). Fig. 7(c) exhibits a slight effect from the width ($w_p$) of the feed probe. This is reasonable since both the coupling capacitance between the feed probe and the monopole and the inductance of the feed probe decrease with decreasing $w_p$.

Another important factor that affects the impedance performance is the splitting slot. Fig. 8(a) shows the effect of the width ($w_s$) of upper rectangular slot (i.e., the narrower part of the splitting). This narrower slot is essential for the impedance match. Without the slot (i.e., $w_s = 0$), the impedance loop moves far away from the center of the Smith chart. Also the slot cannot be made too wide because as $w_s$ increases the impedance loop becomes broader and more capacitive. Fig. 8(b) shows the variation of the impedance locus as the length ($l_w$) of the upper rectangular slot increases. This tendency is similar to that shown in Fig. 7(a). This result is not difficult to understand because an increase in ($l_w$) corresponds to a decrease in the length ($l_{w1} - h_p - l_{w2}$) of the slot line between the feed probe and the narrow slot. The effect of the width ($w_{1}$) of the lower slot line is plotted in Fig. 8(c). The variation tendency is similar to that shown in Fig. 7(b) for $l_p$. The reason is straightforward since widening $w_{1}$ is equivalent to lengthening $l_{w1}$.

Finally, let us examine the effect of the size of the split monopole on the impedance performance. Fig. 9(a) shows a variation tendency similar to that shown in Fig. 7(a). The explanation for this result is as follows: an increase in the length ($l_m$) of the excitation monopole directly leads to an increase in the length ($l_m - h_p - l_w$) of the upper slot line. (Note that $h_p$ and $l_w$ are fixed as $l_m$ increases.) Fig. 9(b) shows the effect of the width ($W_m$) of the planar monopole. The impedance loop becomes broader as $W_m$ increases because of the increased coupling between the widened excitation monopole and the subreflector.

To further understand the operating mechanism of the split-monopole-excited SBA, the field distributions around the excitation structure were simulated. It was found that the current distribution on the split monopole concentrates on the upper part of the...
splitting slot. This current distribution causes a strong electric (or capacitive) coupling between the excitation monopole and the subreflector. Another observation is that the magnetic field lines close up around the subreflector and the feed probe, respectively. This confirms that there is no magnetic linkage between the subreflector and the excitation monopole. Due to the electric coupling between the subreflector and the excitation monopole, a strong fringing field distribution is produced at the edge of the subreflector. The fringing field is the major contributor to the radiation field of the SBA. The simulated field distribution also demonstrates the effect of the rim which effectively constrains the electromagnetic field within the aperture formed by the rim and the subreflector. The field outside the aperture is observed to be more than 40 dB lower than the fringing field. The constrained field distribution contributes to two benefits: 1) to increase the effective area of the aperture, thus enhancing the directivity of the SBA, and 2) to reduce the interference between the SBA and its surroundings.

V. CONCLUSION

A novel cost-effective excitation technique has been developed for wide-band short backfire antennas (SBA’s). This SBA design improvement was motivated by the emerging WiMax technology where many
low-cost high-gain directional subscriber antennas are needed. The excitation structure proposed consists of a planar monopole and a microstrip feed line, both of which are printed on the same dielectric substrate, thus lowering the manufacturing cost. By introducing a slot into the printed monopole, the impedance bandwidth of the new SBA was shown to increase from less than 1% of the typical dipole-excited SBA to about 15% for VSWR < 2. Over this bandwidth, the SBA has a gain of near 15 dBi, a backlobe level of less than −25 dB, and side-lobes lower than −15 dB. The new excitation technique implemented in the 5 GHz UNII band can be extended to millimeter-wave bands and may find applications in other broadband wireless systems, such as the 27–31 GHz LMDS and the 59–64 GHz short-range broadband WLANs.
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Fig. 9. Effect of the split monopole on the impedance performance. (a) Effect of the length of the split monopole \(L_m\). (b) Effect of the width of the split monopole \(W_m\).
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